1	Michael L. Rodenbaugh (Cal. Bar No. 179059)					
2	Jonathan Frost (Cal. Bar No. 273189)					
3	RODENBAUGH LAW 548 Market Street – Box 55819					
4	San Francisco, California 94104					
5	Phone: (415) 738-8087 Email: mike@rodenbaugh.com					
6						
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
8						
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	NANOLAI corporation	BS, INC., a Delaware	Case No. 3:23-cv-844			
13		Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIMS			
14	vs.					
15	COINBASE GLOBAL, INC., a AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
16	Delaware corporation, Defendant.					
17	Defendant.					
18						
19	Plain	ntiff Nanol abs Inc ("Plainti	ff") by and through its attorneys files this			
20	Plaintiff NanoLabs, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys, files this Answer to the Counterclaims filed on May 18, 2023, by Defendant Coinbase Global,					
21		ng as follows:	ay 10, 2020, by Boromann Comount Orocan,			
22			RTIES			
23	1.	Admitted.	<u></u>			
24	2.	Admitted.				
25			ON AND VENUE			
26	3.	Admitted.				
27	4.	Admitted.				
28						
			COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3:23-CV-844			

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

- 5. Admitted.
- 6. Admitted.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. Plaintiff admits that Coinbase Derivatives Exchange facilitates the trading of cryptocurrency futures contracts by market participants. Plaintiff lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 8. Plaintiff lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaims, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 9. Plaintiff admits that Coinbase uses infringing Nano-formative trademarks, including without limitation the Nano Bitcoin and Nano Ether Marks, and confusingly similar marks in association with cryptocurrency products and services. Plaintiff lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 10. Denied that Plaintiff's NANO digital currency is used only for microtransactions. Otherwise admitted.
- 11. Plaintiff admits that it challenges Defendant's use of infringing Nano-formative trademarks, including without limitation the Nano Bitcoin and Nano Ether Marks, and confusingly similar marks, based upon Plaintiff's registered and common law trademark rights. Plaintiff denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaims.
 - 12. Denied.
- 13. Admitted that the word "nano" has various meanings, including "one billionth of a stated unit" and "extremely small" as set forth in Exhibit A to the counterclaims, and elsewhere.

1	14.	Denied that the word "nano" is commonly used in relation to	
2	cryptocurrency products or services. Plaintiff lacks sufficient knowledge or		
3	information to form a belief as to the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph		
4	14 of the C	ounterclaims, and therefore denies those allegations.	
5	15.	Denied.	
6	16.	Denied.	
7	17.	Denied.	
8	18.	Denied.	
9	19.	Denied.	
10	20.	Denied.	
11	21.	Denied.	
12	22.	Denied.	
13	23.	Denied.	
14	24.	Denied.	
15	25.	Admitted.	
16	26.	Admitted.	
17	27.	Denied there was no use in commerce as of January 4, 2018.	
18	Otherwise admitted.		
19	28.	Denied that there was no use in commerce of Plaintiff's NANO mark	
20	prior to January 31, 2018, or that Plaintiff has admitted such. Otherwise admitted.		
21	29.	Admitted.	
22	30.	Denied that assignment of intent-to-use applications is prohibited	
23	under the c	ircumstances of the subject assignments.	
24	31.	Denied.	
25	32.	Denied.	
26		FIRST COUNTERCLAIM FOR RELIEF	
27	33.	Plaintiff re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1	
28	through 32.	inclusive, and incorporates them as though fully set forth by this	

1 reference herein. 2 Admitted that the word "nano" has various meanings, including "one 34. 3 billionth of a stated unit" and "extremely small" as set forth in Exhibit A to the 4 counterclaims, and elsewhere. Denied that the word "nano" is commonly used in relation to 5 35. 6 cryptocurrency products or services. Plaintiff lacks sufficient knowledge or 7 information to form a belief as to the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 14 of the Counterclaims, and therefore denies those allegations. 9 36. Denied. Admitted that NanoLabs was granted U.S. registration for NANO 10 37. 11 (Reg. No. 6203002) to cover digital currency and payment transactions. Otherwise 12 denied. 13 38. Denied. 14 39. Admitted. 15 40. Admitted. SECOND COUNTERCLAIM FOR RELIEF 16 17 41. Plaintiff re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 18 through 40, inclusive, and incorporates them as though fully set forth by this 19 reference herein. 20 42. Admitted that on January 4, 2018, NanoLabs LLC assigned its three 21 intent-to-use applications (NANO, NANO COIN, and NANO CURRENCY) to 22 Mr. LeMahieu. Otherwise denied. 23 43. Denied. 24 44. Admitted that when Mr. LeMahieu assigned the trademark 25 registration for NANO, and the trademark applications for NANO COIN, NANO CURRENCY, and NANO FOUNDATION to NanoLabs, Inc., Mr. LeMahieu had 26 27 yet to file Statement of Use for NANO COIN, NANO CURRENCY and NANO FOUNDATION. Otherwise denied. 28

- 1			
1	45.	Denied.	
2	46.	Admitted that in an application under §1(b) of the Trademark Act, 15	
3	U.S.C. §1051(b), the applicant cannot assign the application before the applicant		
4	files an allegation of use (i.e., either an amendment to allege use under 15 U.S.C.		
5	§1051(c) or a statement of use under 15 U.S.C. §1051(d)), except to a successor to		
6	the applicant's business, or portion of the business to which the mark pertains, if		
7	that business is ongoing and existing. Otherwise denied.		
8	47.	Denied.	
9	48.	Denied.	
10	49.	Admitted.	
11	50.	Denied.	
12		PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
13	Plaintiff denies the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 6 in the "Prayer for		
14	Relief" section of the Counterclaims. Plaintiff further denies that Coinbase is		
15	entitled to any of the relief that it seeks through the Counterclaims.		
16			
17		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
18	Plainti	ff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues raised in the Counterclaims.	
19			
20	Dated: June	8, 2023 <u>BY: /s/ Mike Rodenbaugh</u> Mike Rodenbaugh	
21		RODENBAUGH LAW	
22		548 Market Street – Box 55819 San Francisco, California 94104	
23		Phone: (415) 738-8087	
24		Email: mike@rodenbaugh.com	
25			
26			
27			
28			